BEFORE THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: ZOOM

DATE: MAY 28, 2021

9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2021-13

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER.	3
2. ROLL CALL.	3
3. CONSIDERATION OF FY 21/22 RESEARCH BUDGET - REVISED	5
4. CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE CLINICAL, TRANSLATION AND DISCOVERY STAGE CONCEPT PLANS.	16
5. PUBLIC COMMENT.	25
6. ADJOURNMENT.	29

2

	DETH G. DIAHN, CA GSK NO. 7 132
1	MAY 28, 2021; 9 A.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: SO WELCOME, EVERYONE.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: DID WE LOSE OS? OH,
5	GOODNESS. WE LOST OS AS WE STARTED. THERE HE IS.
6	HE'S HERE NOW. HE LEFT AGAIN. LARRY, YOU MAY HAVE
7	TO DO THE HONORS.
8	MR. GOLDSTEIN: ALL RIGHT. WELCOME,
9	EVERYBODY, THIS MORNING TO THE MEETING OF THE
10	SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE. WE HAVE A PACKED AGENDA. IN
11	THE INTEREST OF TIME, WE'LL MOVE RIGHT AHEAD. I
12	GUESS EVERYBODY IS ON, MEETING IS BEING STREAMED,
13	PUBLIC COMMENTERS ARE READY FOR COMMENT AT THE END
14	OF THE MEETING. EVERYTHING IS A GO, IT SOUNDS LIKE.
15	I HAND IT OVER TO MARIA MILLAN FOR THE FIRST AGENDA
16	ITEM.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: ACTUALLY I'M GOING TO
18	TAKE ROLL REAL QUICK, LARRY, AND THEN WE WILL MOVE
19	ALONG. HOW'S THAT?
20	DR. GOLDSTEIN: THAT SOUNDS GOOD TOO.
21	THANK YOU.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: ABSOLUTELY.
23	OS STEWARD.
24	DR. STEWARD: HERE.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
	2
	3

-	,
1	DR. DEAS: HERE.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
3	DR. DULIEGE: HERE.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEON FINE.
5	DR. FINE: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
7	DR. GASSON: HERE.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
9	DR. GOLDSTEIN: HERE.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
11	DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
13	MR. JUELSGAARD: PRESENT.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS. ART
15	TORRES.
16	MR. TORRES: HERE.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
18	WE HAVE A QUORUM. THANK YOU.
19	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU, MARIA.
20	WELCOME, EVERYBODY, TO THE MAY MEETING OF THE
21	SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE. I THINK LARRY HAS ALREADY
22	SAID A COUPLE OF THINGS. LET ME JUST SAY THAT THIS
23	MEETING IS BEING HELD UNDER THE OPEN MEETING RULES
24	OF CIRM, AND AS SUCH FOR ANY ACTION ITEMS, THERE
25	WILL BE OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT BEFORE
	4

1	THERE'S ANY VOTE, AND THERE WILL BE AN OPPORTUNITY
2	FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AT THE END OF THE MEETING.
3	I THINK THAT'S THE NECESSARY INTRODUCTION,
4	AND WE CAN MOVE IMMEDIATELY TO THE AGENDA. AND I
5	THINK WE START WITH A PRESENTATION; IS THAT CORRECT,
6	MARIA?
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: YES.
8	DR. MILLAN: IS IT JENNIFER, MARIA
9	BONNEVILLE?
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: YES. JENNIFER IS UP
11	FIRST.
12	DR. MILLAN: SO I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE
13	JENNIFER LEWIS, THE DIRECTOR OF GRANTS MANAGEMENT.
14	MS. LEWIS: THANK YOU, MARIA. LET ME GET
15	MY SLIDES UP HERE. CAN EVERYONE SEE THE FULL
16	SCREEN? GREAT.
17	GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE. TODAY I WILL BE
18	PRESENTING TO YOU THE PROPOSED RESEARCH BUDGET FOR
19	FISCAL YEAR 2021/2022. HERE'S THE AGENDA FOR TODAY.
20	I WILL REVIEW THE 20/21 JANUARY THROUGH JUNE CURRENT
21	RESEARCH BUDGET AND THEN WILL PRESENT THE PROPOSED
22	BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021-22 WITH THE MAJOR
23	DRIVERS AND FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS OF THAT
24	PROPOSED BUDGET.
25	SO FIRST, BEFORE I BEGIN, I WANTED TO
	F

1	PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND ON THE CURRENT
2	RESEARCH BUDGET PERIOD BECAUSE IT IS A SIX-MONTH
3	PERIOD. SO IN THE CALENDAR YEAR 2020, THE AGENCY,
4	AS YOU ALL KNOW, WAS OPERATING IN A WIND-DOWN MODE
5	WITH LIMITED RESEARCH DOLLARS. AND THEN WITH THE
6	PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION 14, THIS BOARD APPROVED A
7	BUDGET IN DECEMBER OF 2020 FOR AN ALLOCATION OF
8	FUNDS TO REOPEN OUR CORE PROGRAMS IN DISCOVERY,
9	TRANSLATIONAL, AND CLINICAL, AND SINCE THAT TIME HAS
10	APPROVED ADDITIONAL CONCEPTS IN EDUCATION AND
11	INFRASTRUCTURE PILLARS.
12	ALSO PRIOR TO 2021, THE RESEARCH BUDGET
13	HAD BEEN ALLOCATED ON A CALENDAR-YEAR BASIS. SO AS
14	WE MOVE FORWARD, IN ORDER TO ALIGN THE RESEARCH
15	BUDGET WITH OUR OPERATING AND ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET,
16	ALONG WITH THE STATE'S BUDGET CALENDAR, THE RESEARCH
17	BUDGET WILL BE MOVING TO A FISCAL YEAR FROM JULY TO
18	JUNE. THUS, THIS RESEARCH BUDGET FROM JANUARY
19	THROUGH JUNE THAT WAS APPROVED IN DECEMBER 2020 HAS
20	BEEN PRORATED SIX MONTHS TO THE SIX-MONTH PERIOD
21	FROM WHAT WAS ORIGINALLY INTENDED.
22	SO HERE IS THE ALLOCATED RESEARCH BUDGET
23	FOR YEAR ONE OF PROP 14 FUNDING, WHICH, AGAIN, IS A
24	SHORTER TIMELINE OF SIX MONTHS FROM JANUARY THROUGH
25	JUNE. AS YOU CAN SEE, A HUNDRED MILLION WAS

1	ALLOCATED TO THE CLINICAL PILLAR, 60 MILLION TO
2	TRANSLATIONAL PILLAR, AND 22 MILLION TO DISCOVERY
3	FOR OUR QUEST PROGRAM. ADDITIONALLY, OVER THE PAST
4	SIX MONTHS, THIS BOARD HAS MET FREQUENTLY AND BEEN
5	VERY BUSY IN APPROVING CONCEPTS IN OUR EDUCATION
6	PILLAR FOR OUR BRIDGES PROGRAM, RESEARCH TRAINING,
7	AND SPARK, ALONG WITH OUR INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM FOR
8	THE ALPHA CLINICS SUPPLEMENT THAT WAS APPROVED IN
9	MAY EARLIER. THIS TOTAL RESEARCH BUDGET IS 356
10	MILLION FOR THE PERIOD OF JANUARY THROUGH JUNE 2021.
11	I WANTED TO NOTE A COUPLE OF THINGS. IF
12	THERE IS A REMAINING BALANCE IN ANY OF THESE
13	PILLARS, THIS WILL BE REPORTED TO THE BOARD AT AN
14	UPCOMING MEETING AND FUNDS WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE
15	FOR FUTURE RESEARCH BUDGET ALLOCATIONS.
16	AND I ALSO WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT THAT, DUE
17	TO THIS SHORTER BUDGET PERIOD AND THE ACCELERATED
18	PACE IN WHICH WE HAVE RESTARTED OR CORE PROGRAMS,
19	THERE ARE SEVERAL APPROVED CONCEPTS IN THIS JANUARY
20	THROUGH JUNE BUDGET WITH OPEN APPLICATIONS AND
21	REVIEWS PENDING THAT WILL BE COMING TO THE BOARD FOR
22	APPROVAL THIS UPCOMING FISCAL YEAR AND BE COMMITTED
23	IN THAT FISCAL YEAR.
24	NEXT I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS THE PROPOSED
25	2021-2022 FISCAL YEAR BUDGET. SO BEFORE WE LOOK AT

1	THAT BUDGET, I WANTED TO SHARE THE MAJOR DRIVERS AND
2	CONSIDERATIONS FOR THIS PROPOSAL THAT WE WILL BE
3	SHARING TODAY. THIS BUDGET ANTICIPATES AN INCREASE
4	IN REVIEWS AND VOLUME OF APPLICATIONS FOR THE NEXT
5	12 MONTHS TOTALING TO 22 REVIEWS. AND IT INCLUDES
6	CONTINUED FUNDING OF OUR REOCCURRING PROGRAM
7	ANNOUNCEMENTS IN THE DISCOVERY, TRANSLATIONAL, AND
8	CLINICAL PILLARS. IT ALSO INCLUDES AN EDUCATION
9	BUDGET WITH FUNDING FOR A RELAUNCH OF THE CONFERENCE
10	GRANT CONCEPT, WHICH, FOR THOSE THAT AREN'T
11	FAMILIAR, THIS ALLOWS FOR AWARDS TO BE MADE IN AN
12	EXISTING NON-CIRM DIRECTED CONFERENCE OR PROVIDES AN
13	OPTION FOR A CIRM-DIRECTED CONFERENCE THROUGH A
14	TARGETED RFA.
15	IN ADDITION, THE EDUCATION BUDGET INCLUDES
16	FUNDS FOR A FUTURE TRAINING PROGRAM CONCEPT THAT
17	WOULD COME TO THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE
18	BOARD. WE ARE PLANNING TO BRING THAT FOR AN
19	UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM LATER THIS YEAR ALONG WITH A
20	BUDGET ASSOCIATED WITH THAT.
21	AND THEN, SIMILARLY, A BASIC RESEARCH
22	CONCEPT IS IN DEVELOPMENT FOR OUR DISCOVERY PROGRAM
23	THAT WOULD BE BROUGHT TO THE ICOC THIS FALL.
24	AND, LASTLY, WE DO PLAN TO BRING A REVISED
25	2021-22 BUDGET TO THE ICOC IN DECEMBER WITH THE

1	STRATEGIC PLAN THAT WOULD INCORPORATE ANY NEW
2	CONCEPTS FROM THAT PLAN. SO JUST WANTED TO KEEP
3	THAT IN MIND AS WE MOVE FORWARD.
4	THIS NEXT SLIDE SUMMARIZES THE FINANCIAL
5	CONSIDERATIONS THAT SUPPORT THIS PROPOSED BUDGET.
6	THE CLINICAL BUDGET REQUEST IS 162 MILLION, WHICH IS
7	BASED ON THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF AWARDS FUNDED
8	HISTORICALLY PER YEAR AND AN AVERAGE AWARD AMOUNT.
9	SO FOR OUR CLIN2 PROGRAM, OR THE CLINICAL STAGE
10	PROJECTS, THE MOST WE'VE FUNDED IN ONE YEAR IS 15
11	AWARDS, WHICH IS WHAT THIS PROPOSED BUDGET IS BASED
12	ON, OF AN AVERAGE OF 8.9 MILLION PER AWARD. FOR OUR
13	CLIN1 PROGRAM, OR LATE STAGE PRECLINICAL PROJECTS,
14	THE MOST WE'VE FUNDED IN ONE YEAR IS SIX AWARDS AT
15	AN AVERAGE OF 4.8 MILLION, WHICH IS ALSO BASED ON
16	THE 162 MILLION BUDGET REQUEST. FOR THE TRANSLATION
17	BUDGET, WE ARE REQUESTING 52 MILLION BASED ON AN
18	AVERAGE NUMBER OF AWARDS FUNDED HISTORICALLY PER
19	REVIEW AND AN AVERAGE AWARD AMOUNT. FOR THIS
20	UPCOMING YEAR, WE ARE PROPOSING THREE REVIEWS WITH
21	AN AVERAGE OF FOUR AWARDS PER REVIEW FOR 4.3 MILLION
22	AVERAGE AWARD AMOUNT.
23	THE PROPOSED DISCOVERY BUDGET IS 80
24	MILLION WHICH IS ALSO BASED ON AN AVERAGE NUMBER OF
25	AWARDS FUNDED HISTORICALLY PER REVIEW AND AN AVERAGE

1	AWARD AMOUNT. FOR QUEST IN DISC2, WE ARE
2	ANTICIPATING TWO REVIEWS. THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF
3	AWARDS WE'VE FUNDED HISTORICALLY IS 12 AWARDS PER
4	REVIEW AT AN AVERAGE AWARD AMOUNT OF 1.3 MILLION PER
5	AWARD. FOR 2021-22 WE ARE PROJECTING A LITTLE OVER
6	THAT OF 15 AWARDS IN THIS PROPOSED BUDGET PER
7	REVIEW, AND THIS IS BASED ON DEMAND IN OUR CURRENT
8	DISCOVERY ROUND THAT WE RECEIVED OVER THE PAST SIX
9	MONTHS. ALSO IN THIS \$80 MILLION DISCOVERY BUDGET
10	REQUEST WE'VE INCLUDED FUNDING IN ANTICIPATION OF A
11	BASIC RESEARCH CONCEPT THAT, AS I MENTIONED, IS IN
12	DEVELOPMENT AND WILL BE BROUGHT TO THE BOARD FOR
13	CONSIDERATION LATER THIS YEAR.
14	THE EDUCATION BUDGET PROPOSAL IS 66
15	MILLION, WHICH INCLUDES A RESTART OF THE CONFERENCE
16	GRANT PROGRAM OF FUNDS ALLOCATED OF ABOUT 1.25
17	MILLION, WHICH IS ALSO BASED ON THE MAXIMUM NUMBER
18	OF AWARDS WE HAVE FUNDED HISTORICALLY PER YEAR AND
19	AVERAGE AWARD, AND IT ALSO INCLUDES FUNDS FOR AN
20	UNDERGRADUATE TRAINING PROGRAM, AS I MENTIONED, THAT
21	IS CURRENTLY IN DEVELOPMENT THAT WOULD ALSO COME TO
22	THE BOARD LATER THIS YEAR.
23	HERE'S THE CURRENT RESEARCH BUDGET AND
24	PROPOSED RESEARCH BUDGET FOR 2021-2022. AS
	256
25	MENTIONED, THE CURRENT BUDGET IS 356 MILLION, AND I

1	WOULD LIKE TO HIGHLIGHT THE DISCOVERY AND EDUCATION
2	PILLARS AS THEY HAVE APPLICATIONS CURRENTLY IN
3	PROGRESS THAT, AS I MENTIONED, WILL BE COMMITTED IN
4	THE UPCOMING FISCAL YEAR. AND THIS IS NOT INCLUDED
5	IN THE NEW PROPOSED BUDGET ASK FOR THE NEXT FISCAL
6	YEAR. THE PROPOSED 2021-2022 BUDGET INCLUDES 160
7	MILLION FOR OUR CLINICAL PROGRAM AND DOES NOT
8	INCLUDE ICOC APPROVED ALLOCATION FOR OUR CURE SICKLE
9	CELL INITIATIVE OF 30 MILLION, AND CURRENTLY WE HAVE
10	REMAINING FUNDS OF 17.4 MILLION IN THAT BUCKET. IT
11	ALSO HAS A PROPOSAL OF 52 MILLION FOR OUR
12	TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM, 80 MILLION FOR THE DISCOVERY
13	PROGRAM, 66 MILLION FOR EDUCATION, AND ZERO DOLLARS
14	CURRENTLY FOR THE INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM WITH A
15	TOTAL RESEARCH BUDGET OF 360 MILLION.
16	SO AT THIS TIME I WILL PAUSE TO ALLOW
17	DISCUSSION, BUT THE CIRM TEAM TODAY IS REQUESTING
18	THAT THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE CONSIDER THIS BUDGET
19	PROPOSAL FOR THE UPCOMING FISCAL YEAR AND ADVANCE IT
20	TO THE ICOC. OS, I WILL STOP FOR QUESTIONS.
21	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU, JENNIFER.
22	STEVE, I THINK YOU HAD YOUR HAND UP FIRST.
23	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES. SO, JENNIFER, I
24	APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT WE'RE GOING TO REVISIT THIS
25	BUDGET AT THE END OF THIS CALENDAR YEAR. FOR ME

1	THERE'S AND I REALIZE THIS IS KIND OF A
2	PLACEHOLDER BUDGET. SO JUST TAKE MY COMMENTS IN
3	THAT VEIN. THIS IS REALLY AN HISTORICAL
4	AVERAGE-BASED BUDGET (INAUDIBLE) FOR ALL INFLATION.
5	THERE'S UNLIKE CLINICAL, WHICH IS WHAT'S THE
6	GREATEST AMOUNT WE SPENT IN ANY ONE YEAR, IT'S JUST
7	THE AVERAGE AMOUNT SPENT OVER WHATEVER TIME PERIOD
8	YOU SELECTED.
9	THE OTHER WAY OF BUDGETING IS A PROJECTED
10	DEMAND-BASED BUDGET, WHICH IS REALLY LOOKING AT THE
11	ARC OF THE LINE OVER TIME OF BUDGETED AMOUNTS. AND,
12	ALSO, IF YOU LOOK AT SLIDE 6 IN WHAT YOU PRESENTED,
13	THE FIRST THING SAYS IT'S INCREASED REVIEWS AND
14	VOLUME FOR THE 12-MONTH PERIOD TO 21 REVIEWS. THAT
15	SUGGESTS TO ME, IF WE'RE GOING TO HAVE INCREASED
16	REVIEWS, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE INCREASED APPLICATIONS
17	AND WE'RE GOING TO SPEND MORE MONEY. SO USING A
18	HISTORICAL AVERAGE DOESN'T NECESSARILY LINE UP WELL
19	WITH THIS NOTION OF AN INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF
20	REVIEWS.
21	ANYWAY, WHAT I'M JUST SAYING IS WHEN WE
22	GET TO THE END OF THE YEAR AND WE'RE LOOKING AT THE
23	BUDGET AGAIN, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE TRY AND FIGURE
24	OUT, WE ARE INCREASING THE SIZE OF THE FUNNEL AS
25	WELL, WHICH WE'LL TALK ABOUT IN THE CONCEPT PLAN,

1	WE'RE GOING TO INCLUDE GENE THERAPY-BASED PRODUCTS,
2	ETC., WE MAY FIND OURSELVES ACTUALLY GETTING A LOT
3	MORE DEMAND FOR DOLLARS THAN WE ARE PROJECTING RIGHT
4	NOW. SO I THINK THIS IS FINE FOR NOW, BUT I WOULD
5	SUGGEST WE TRY AND TAKE A STAB AT A PROJECTED
6	DEMAND-BASED BUDGET LOOKING AT INCREASE OVER TIME
7	AND ALSO WIDTH OF THE FUNNEL AS IT INCREASES WITH
8	WHAT WE ARE PROPOSING TO DO. NOT A QUESTION, JUST A
9	COMMENT.
10	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU, STEVE.
11	LARRY, I THINK YOU WERE NEXT.
12	MR. GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. I HAVE A SUGGESTION
13	ABOUT PRESENTATION OF THESE NUMBERS TO THE BOARD AND
14	TO THE PUBLIC. THE TRAN AND CLIN GRANTS REQUIRE
15	CERTAIN LEVELS OF MATCH, AND I THINK IT WOULD BE
16	HELPFUL TO SHOW A PROJECTION OF HOW MANY DOLLARS ARE
17	LEVERAGED FROM MATCH THAT IS RAISED FROM THE
18	COMMUNITY OR PRIVATE COMPANIES OR PHILANTHROPY OR
19	WHATEVER BECAUSE THAT'S ACTUALLY A SUBSTANTIAL
20	EXTENSION OF THE FUNDING OF THESE PROJECTS AND
21	ULTIMATELY SPEAKS TO THE PUBLIC VALUE OF WHAT WE DO.
22	MS. LEWIS: THANK YOU, LARRY. WE CAN
23	INCLUDE THAT AS PART OF THAT.
24	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU. ART, I
25	THINK YOU HAVE YOUR HAND UP NEXT.

1	MR. TORRES: YES, I DID. I WANTED TO
2	ASSOCIATE MYSELF WITH STEVE'S REMARKS IN RESPECT TO
3	MAKING SURE THAT NEXT TIME WE LOOK AT A PROJECTED
4	BUDGET BECAUSE I DO BELIEVE THERE WILL BE MORE
5	DEMAND. AND HISTORICAL AVERAGES MAY NOT REFLECT
6	WHERE WE NEED TO MOVE GIVEN THE INTEREST OF THE
7	BOARD AND CLEARLY THE INTEREST OF THE SCIENCE.
8	SECONDLY, I JUST WANTED TO GET I THINK
9	I JUST DID NOT HEAR PROPERLY ON THE SICKLE CELL
10	PROJECT THAT WE'RE DOING WITH NIH. WHAT WAS THE
11	UPDATE ON THAT, JENNIFER?
12	MS. LEWIS: SO THE BOARD ALLOCATED 30
13	MILLION AND CURRENTLY THERE'S 17.4 MILLION REMAINING
14	IN THAT ALLOCATION, AND THAT ALLOCATION REMAINS. SO
15	THE CLINICAL BUDGET IS IN ADDITION TO THOSE FUNDS.
16	MR. TORRES: SO WE WILL FULFILL OUR
17	COMMITMENT TO THE NIH THEN?
18	MS. LEWIS: CORRECT.
19	MR. TORRES: OKAY. THANK YOU.
20	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. OTHER QUESTIONS?
21	I DON'T SEE ANY OTHER HANDS. MARIA, DO YOU SEE ANY
22	HANDS?
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO OTHER HANDS.
24	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: SO THIS IS AN ACTION
25	ITEM. COULD WE HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE IF SOMEONE

	BETH G. DRAIN, GA GSK NO. 7 132
1	IS SO MOVED?
2	DR. DEAS: SO MOVED.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH. AND I DIDN'T
4	CATCH THE SECOND.
5	MR. TORRES: ART.
6	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: ALL RIGHT. EXCELLENT.
7	ANY FURTHER BOARD DISCUSSION? IF NOT, THIS ISSUE IS
8	OPEN FOR ANY PUBLIC COMMENT.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE ARE NO PUBLIC
10	COMMENTS THAT I SEE.
11	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: EXCELLENT. SO IN THAT
12	CASE, MARIA, COULD YOU CALL THE ROLL.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: YES.
14	OS STEWARD.
15	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
17	DR. DEAS: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
19	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEON FINE.
21	DR. FINE: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
23	DR. GASSON: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
25	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
	15
	1.J

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
2	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
4	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS. ART
6	TORRES.
7	MR. TORRES: AYE.
8	MS. THANK YOU. THE MOTION CARRIES.
9	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: EXCELLENT. THANK YOU.
10	SO THEN, JENNIFER, DID YOU HAVE ADDITIONAL
11	PRESENTATION OR WAS THAT IT?
12	MS. LEWIS: THAT WAS MY PRESENTATION FOR
13	TODAY. I BELIEVE GIL IS UP NEXT.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: CORRECT.
15	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: EXCELLENT. THANK YOU.
16	OKAY. GIL.
17	DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. THANK YOU. SO GOOD
18	MORNING, EVERYONE. I'M GOING TO JUST SHARE MY
19	SCREEN AND SLIDE DECK. SO IF YOU CAN'T SEE IT, LET
20	ME KNOW. OTHERWISE I'LL MOVE ON.
21	AND SO WHAT WE ARE DOING TODAY IS BRINGING
22	FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION SOME CONCEPT CHANGES TO OUR
23	DISCOVERY, TRANSLATIONAL, AND CLINICAL CONCEPTS.
24	AND SO THE BOARD APPROVED SOME CHANGES LATE LAST
25	YEAR IN ORDER TO HELP US RELAUNCH THESE CORE
	16

1	PROGRAMS STARTING JANUARY 1ST UNDER PROP 14. AND SO
2	WE DID A FEW EDITS AND CHANGES TO MAKE THAT
3	POSSIBLE. AND SO TODAY WHAT WE ARE BRINGING ARE
4	SOME ADDITIONAL CHANGES THAT WOULD ENSURE
5	CONSISTENCY AMONG THESE CONCEPTS. SO WE'RE TRYING
6	TO CLEAN THEM UP A LITTLE BIT FROM THAT PERSPECTIVE
7	AND REMOVE SOME UNNECESSARY BARRIERS THAT STILL
8	EXIST IN THOSE CONCEPTS TO APPLICANTS. AND THEN
9	LATER THIS YEAR WE DO EXPECT TO BRING ADDITIONAL AND
10	MORE COMPREHENSIVE CHANGES THAT WILL ALIGN THESE
11	CORE PROGRAMS WITH THE NEW STRATEGIC PLAN ONCE THAT
12	IS DEVELOPED.
13	AND SO I'LL REVIEW WHAT THE GLOBAL CHANGES
14	TO THE CONCEPTS ARE JUST SO THAT WE ARE ALL ON THE
15	SAME PAGE. SO THE CONCEPTS ARE ALSO OR COPIES OF
16	THOSE EDITS ARE PROVIDED TO YOU. SO IF YOU WANT TO
17	LOOK AT THE DETAILS, THOSE ARE AVAILABLE, AND WE CAN
18	GO OVER. SO I'M JUST GOING TO DO A BIG-PICTURE
19	OVERVIEW RIGHT NOW.
20	ONE OF THE MAJOR CHANGES WAS AN ADDITION
21	OF A STATEMENT ON EACH OF THESE CONCEPTS THAT
22	ADDRESSES HOW THE PROGRAMS PROVIDE A UNIQUE
23	OPPORTUNITY TO SUPPORT STAGES OF RESEARCH THAT ARE
24	UNLIKELY TO RECEIVE TIMELY OR SUFFICIENT FUNDING
25	FROM OTHER SOURCES. THE IDEA BEHIND THIS IS TO

1	PROVIDE AN ELEMENT WITHIN THE CONCEPT THAT STATES
2	THAT UNIQUENESS OF THE PROGRAM AND, AS UNDER PROP 71
3	AND UNDER PROP 14, FULFILLS THE GOAL OF PROVIDING A
4	FUNDING OPPORTUNITY WHERE FEDERAL FUNDING AND OTHER
5	SOURCES OF FUNDING CANNOT. AND PART OF DOING THIS
6	IS TO ALSO CORRESPONDINGLY REMOVE STATEMENTS THAT
7	EXIST IN THE ELIGIBILITY SECTION THAT WOULD REQUIRE
8	APPLICANTS TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY ARE UNLIKELY TO
9	RECEIVE TIMELY OR SUFFICIENT FUNDING FROM OTHER
10	SOURCES. HAVING IT IN THE ELIGIBILITY SECTION HAS
11	MADE ASSESSMENT OF THIS ELEMENT QUITE DIFFICULT BOTH
12	FOR THE APPLICANTS AND FOR CIRM. AND SO WE THINK BY
13	HAVING THE BOARD DECLARE THAT THESE FUNDING
14	OPPORTUNITIES ARE UNIQUE IN THIS WAY, I THINK WE CAN
15	ADDRESS THAT GOAL WITHOUT NECESSARILY HAVING THAT
16	ELEMENT BE PRESENT IN ELIGIBILITY.
17	OTHER CHANGES INCLUDE BROADLY GENE THERAPY
18	PROJECTS AS IN SCOPE FOR DIAGNOSTIC, DEVICE, AND
19	TOOL PROJECTS. PREVIOUSLY WE HAD DONE THIS FOR ALL
20	THE THERAPEUTIC ELEMENTS, BUT NOW WE ARE EXPANDING
21	THAT INTO THE DIAGNOSTIC, DEVICE, AND TOOL PROJECTS.
22	AND THEN WE ARE REMOVING AN ELIGIBILITY
23	REQUIREMENT IN SOME OF THE CONCEPTS THAT SMALL
24	MOLECULES OR BIOLOGIC PROPOSALS MUST INVOLVE A
25	THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY FUNDED BY

1	CIRM. THIS WAS PUT IN PLACE A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO
2	AS OUR FUNDS WERE DWINDLING AND WE WERE TRYING TO
3	PRESERVE THE CORE OF OUR FUNDING MOSTLY TO CELL
4	THERAPY PROJECTS. AND SO GIVEN WHERE WE ARE TODAY,
5	WE DON'T THINK THAT HAVING THIS REQUIREMENT IS
6	NECESSARY, AND WE'D LIKE TO REOPEN IT UP TO ANYONE
7	WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAVE PREVIOUSLY HAD A
8	CIRM-FUNDED CANDIDATE.
9	AND THEN IN ADDITION SOME MINOR
10	CLARIFICATIONS, ADDING, FOR EXAMPLE, REGENERATIVE
11	MEDICINE TO BROADLY DESCRIBE THE SCOPE OF THE CIRM
12	FUNDING. AND JUST VERY QUICKLY LET ME GO OVER SOME
13	OF THE SPECIFIC CHANGES FOR EACH CONCEPT.
14	FOR THE DISCOVERY 2, PROGRAM WHICH FUNDS
15	DISCOVERY AWARDS FOR IDENTIFYING A CANDIDATE
16	THERAPEUTIC FOR A DEVICE, DIAGNOSTIC, OR TOOL, IN
17	THIS CONCEPT WE JUST REALLY MADE MINOR
18	CLARIFICATIONS IN THE LANGUAGE TO BETTER DESCRIBE
19	THE ELIGIBILITY OF GENE THERAPY CANDIDATES. WE
20	EXPERIENCED SOME CONFUSION ON THE PART OF
21	APPLICANTS, AND SO WE ARE HOPING THAT THIS WILL HELP
22	CLARIFY SOME POINTS.
23	FOR THE TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM, WHICH
24	TARGETS PROJECTS THAT ARE ADVANCING A CANDIDATE
25	THROUGH TRANSLATIONAL ACTIVITIES, THE CHANGES WE ARE

1	MAKING THERE ARE FOR THE PROJECT MANAGER PERCENT
2	EFFORT. WE ARE CHANGING THE MINIMUM PERCENT EFFORT
3	TO 50 PERCENT, AND ALSO DOING THIS ACTUALLY ACROSS
4	TRAN, CLIN1, AND CLIN2 SO ALL PROJECT MANAGERS ARE
5	GOING TO BE AT 50 PERCENT MINIMUM REQUIREMENT.
6	WE ARE DOING THE ADDITION OF GENE THERAPY
7	TO THE SCOPE OF THE DIAGNOSTIC, MEDICAL DEVICE, AND
8	TOOLS, WHICH ARE THE TRAN2, TRAN3, AND TRAN4
9	OPPORTUNITIES.
10	AND THEN FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM, WE ARE
11	CHANGING THE PROPOSED TIME TO AN IND FILING IN THE
12	CLIN1, WHICH IS THE IND-ENABLING FUNDING
13	OPPORTUNITY, FROM 18 TO 24 MONTHS. AND THIS IS
14	BASED ON JUST NOW SEVERAL YEARS EXPERIENCE IN
15	SUPPORTING THESE IND-ENABLING PROJECTS AND HAS
16	BECOME CLEAR THAT 24 MONTHS IS A MORE PRACTICAL AND
17	MORE REALISTIC EXPECTATION TO GET PROJECTS TO THAT
18	IND FILING.
19	WE ARE ALSO PROPOSING A CHANGE IN PERCENT
20	EFFORT FOR THE PROJECT MANAGER HERE, AS MENTIONED,
21	TO 50 PERCENT TO ALIGN THEM ACROSS ALL THOSE
22	PROGRAMS.
23	AND THEN WE ARE REMOVING A PREFERENCE THAT
24	IS STATED IN THE CLIN2 FOR RARE OR PEDIATRIC
25	INDICATIONS THAT EXIST EXCLUSIVELY FOR PHASE 3

1	TRIALS. AND SO THAT PREFERENCE IS STATED TO BE ONE
2	THAT WOULD BE GIVEN BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP IN
3	THEIR REVIEW. WE THOUGHT THAT MAYBE AT THIS TIME,
4	GIVEN THAT WE REALLY HAVE NOT SEEN MANY PHASE 3
5	TRIAL PROPOSALS, BUT ALSO THERE DOESN'T SEEM TO BE A
6	PARTICULAR NEED TO HAVE THIS PREFERENCE SIMPLY FOR
7	PHASE 3S OVER ANY OTHERS, SO WE THOUGHT THAT THAT
8	MIGHT BE REMOVED SO THAT WE CAN ALIGN ALL THE PHASE
9	1 THROUGH PHASE 3 TRIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CLIN2
10	PROGRAM.
11	AND SO THE REQUESTED ACTION IS WE'RE
12	ASKING THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE TO RECOMMEND BOARD
13	CONSIDERATION OF THESE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS FOR THE
14	CONCEPT PLANS. AND SO HAPPY TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS
15	ON THIS. THANK YOU.
16	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU, GIL.
17	ACTUALLY I'D LIKE TO LET ME ASK THE FIRST
18	QUESTION, IF YOU DON'T MIND, WHICH IS IN REMOVING A
19	LOT OF THE, LET'S CALL IT, GATING, YOU ARE OPENING
20	THE GATES ESSENTIALLY. I JUST WAS WONDERING IF YOU
21	HAD ANY ESTIMATE OF WHAT THAT MIGHT MEAN IN TERMS OF
22	THE FLOW OF APPLICATIONS INTO CIRM.
23	DR. SAMBRANO: RIGHT. THAT'S A GREAT
24	QUESTION. IT'S HARD TO SAY. WE KNOW BASED ON
25	SPEAKING TO SOME APPLICANTS WHO WOULD HAVE APPLIED

1	OR WHO INTENDED TO APPLY THAT THIS WAS A BARRIER,
2	BUT IT WAS NOT THAT MANY. I THINK WE MAY HAVE
3	TALKED TO FIVE TO TEN APPLICANTS WHERE THEY WERE NOT
4	ABLE TO APPLY GIVEN THAT REQUIREMENT. BUT I THINK
5	THIS WHOLE YEAR WE ARE TESTING THE WATERS IN TERMS
6	OF THE OVERALL DEMAND. WE HAVEN'T SEEN A LARGE
7	INCREASE SINCE JANUARY COMPARED TO PREVIOUS YEARS
8	YET. SO I THINK THAT IS PART OF THE ONGOING
9	ASSESSMENT WE'RE GOING TO NEED TO DO.
10	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU. I THINK,
11	JUDY, YOUR HAND IS UP NEXT.
12	DR. GASSON: THANK YOU. QUICK QUESTION,
13	GIL. I NOTICED THAT YOU ARE CHANGING THE EFFORT OF
14	THE PROJECT MANAGER INCREASES FROM 35 TO 50 IN THE
15	TRAN AND DECREASING IT FROM 75 TO 50 IN THE CLIN. I
16	JUST WONDERED WHAT THE RATIONALE WAS FOR THAT.
17	DR. SAMBRANO: THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION. SO
18	THAT IS TRYING TO ALIGN IT, AGAIN, WITH THE
19	EXPERIENCE FROM OUR SCIENCE OFFICERS AND MEETING AND
20	HELPING SUPPORT THESE PROJECTS. AND SO WE HAVE
21	FOUND ON TRAN THAT THE NEED FOR A PROJECT MANAGER IS
22	REALLY IMPORTANT AT THAT STAGE. HAVING SOMEBODY
23	THAT CAN HELP LEAD THE PROJECT AT THAT STAGE NEEDS
24	MORE THAN JUST A MINIMUM OF 35 PERCENT. SO THAT'S
25	WHY THAT INCREASE.

	2211 0.211111, 0.1 00111011 202
1	ON THE FLIP SIDE, IT'S INTERESTING THAT
2	ONCE A PROJECT GETS INTO THE CLINIC, WE HAD A
3	PREVIOUS REQUIREMENT OF 75 PERCENT, WHICH MANY HAD
4	TROUBLE ABIDING BY, AND WE ALSO FOUND WAS JUST NOT
5	NEEDED. 50 PERCENT IN MOST CASES SEEMED TO BE
6	APPROPRIATE.
7	DR. GASSON: THANK YOU.
8	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANKS, GIL. OTHER
9	QUESTIONS FROM ANYONE? I DON'T SEE ANY HANDS.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO.
11	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: IF NOT, THIS IS AN
12	ACTION ITEM. SO IF WE COULD GET A MOTION.
13	DR. DULIEGE: I CAN MOVE.
14	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU, ANNE-MARIE.
15	IS THERE A SECOND?
16	DR. DEAS: SECOND.
17	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU. IS THAT
18	DEBORAH?
19	DR. DEAS: YES.
20	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION
21	BY MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE? IF NOT, WE'LL OPEN THIS UP
22	FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE ARE NO HANDS
24	RAISED, OS.
25	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: EXCELLENT. SO, MARIA,
	22

4	TUEN COULD VOIL CALL THE DOLL
1	THEN COULD YOU CALL THE ROLL.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
3	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
5	DR. DEAS: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
7	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEON FINE.
9	DR. FINE: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
11	DR. GASSON: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
13	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
15	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
17	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS. ART
19	TORRES.
20	MR. TORRES: AYE.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
22	THE MOTION CARRIES.
23	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: EXCELLENT. THANK YOU.
24	SO DO WE HAVE OTHER PRESENTATIONS, MARIA?
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO, THAT WAS THE LAST
	24

1	PRESENTATION.
2	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. THEN THAT ALSO
3	IS THE CONCLUSION OF THE BUSINESS OF THE
4	SUBCOMMITTEE TODAY; IS THAT CORRECT?
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: YES.
6	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. GOOD. IN THAT
7	CASE WE WILL OPEN THIS UP, THEN, FOR ANY PUBLIC
8	COMMENT ON ANY ISSUES RELATED TO THIS COMMITTEE'S
9	INTEREST, SO TO SPEAK. MARIA, DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC
10	COMMENT?
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: I JUST WANTED TO LET
12	ANYONE KNOW YOU CAN PRESS STAR 9 IF YOU DO NOT KNOW
13	THAT'S HOW YOU CAN GET PUBLIC COMMENT IS STAR 9.
14	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: IF WE DO HAVE PUBLIC
15	COMMENT, I'D JUST REMIND EVERYBODY THAT YOUR
16	COMMENTS ARE LIMITED TO THREE MINUTES EACH.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE IS PUBLIC COMMENT
18	FROM PAOLA. IF YOU COULD UNMUTE YOURSELF.
19	MS. ABDULRAHIN: HELLO. THANK YOU. THANK
20	YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY. MY NAME IS PAOLA
21	ABDULRAHIN. I'M THE MOTHER OF A SKID BABY FROM
22	CANADA. AND I JUST WANTED TO TELL YOU ALL THAT WE
23	APPRECIATE ALL THE EFFORTS THAT YOU GUYS ARE DOING
24	FOR THE CARE OF ALL THE ADA-SCID CHILDREN AROUND THE
25	GLOBE. WE REALLY HOPE THAT EVERYTHING THAT YOU ARE

1	DOING IS GOING TO REALLY BRING US THE HOPE THAT WE
2	NEED IN TERMS OF THE CARE FOR OUR CHILDREN. AND
3	HOPEFULLY THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO GIVE THEM A
4	LIFE, THAT THEY CAN GO TO LIVE A NORMAL LIFE AND A
5	PRODUCTIVE LIFE IN THE FUTURE. SO THANK YOU FOR
6	EVERYTHING AND ALL YOUR EFFORTS THAT YOU ARE DOING
7	BECAUSE IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT. I JUST WANTED TO SAY
8	THAT.
9	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
10	PAOLA. THAT WAS VERY GOOD TO HEAR FROM YOU, AND WE
11	CERTAINLY APPRECIATE YOUR INPUT.
12	MARIA, IS THERE OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT?
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: I DO NOT SEE ANY OTHER
14	PUBLIC COMMENT.
15	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: OKAY. EXCELLENT.
16	MARIA MILLAN, WAS THERE ANYTHING THAT YOU WANTED TO
17	ADD AT ALL TO OUR MEETING TODAY?
18	DR. MILLAN: NO, NOTHING ADDITIONAL UNLESS
19	THE BOARD NEEDS CLARIFICATION ON ANYTHING. I JUST
20	WANTED TO JENNIFER LEWIS HAD PRESENTED THE BUDGET
21	PROPOSAL. I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT THE
22	AVERAGES SHE WAS TALKING ABOUT WAS AVERAGE PER
23	AWARD. AND, IN FACT, THERE WAS A PROJECTION DONE
24	WITH THE ANTICIPATED INCREASE IN NUMBER OF ROUNDS.
25	BUT THE PROJECTION INCLUDED THE ANTICIPATED NUMBER

1	OF SUCCESSFUL APPLICATIONS PER ROUND. I JUST WANTED
2	TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT WAS CLEAR, BUT WE WILL MAKE
3	IT CLEARER AT THE NEXT PRESENTATION.
4	MR. TORRES: IF I MAY, I JUST WANT TO HAVE
5	A SHOUT-OUT TO MARIA MILLAN. SHE REALLY EXPRESSED
6	AN INCREDIBLE INTERACTION, AS DID OTHERS ON OUR
7	STAFF, WITH ORCHARD IN DEALING WITH THIS CRISIS
8	WHICH, GOD WILLING, IT LOOKS LIKE SHE HAS RESOLVED
9	AND OTHERS HAVE RESOLVED TO BRING TO THE TABLE. AND
10	IT ALL COMES DOWN TO SAVING LIVES. AND I AM SO
11	PROUD TO HAVE HER AS OUR CEO BECAUSE SHE GOES BEYOND
12	THE CALL OF DUTY. AND WHEN SOMEONE EXPRESSES THAT
13	COMMITMENT, AND I'M SURE IT COMES FROM HER
14	BACKGROUND AS A PEDIATRIC ORGAN TRANSPLANT SURGEON,
15	BUT, NONETHELESS, WE ARE SO FORTUNATE TO HAVE HER.
16	AND THANK YOU AGAIN, MARIA, FOR I KNOW WHAT YOU PUT
17	UP WITH IN TRYING TO GET THIS ISSUE RESOLVED FOR OUR
18	PATIENT ADVOCATES, FOR THE PARENTS, AND ULTIMATELY
19	FOR THE CHILDREN. THANK YOU.
20	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: HERE. HERE.
21	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH.
22	MR. GOLDSTEIN: GREAT WORK.
23	DR. MILLAN: I APPRECIATE THAT. AND ONE
24	OF THE THINGS THAT I WANTED TO MAKE SURE OF IS THAT
25	THERE IS A LOT OF INTEREST IN THIS PROGRAM. I DID

1	WANT TO SHARE THAT ORCHARD WILL BE ENABLING A
2	PREAPPROVAL CLINICAL TRIAL AT UCLA. THAT IS
3	SOMETHING THAT THEY HAVE MADE CLEAR AND PUBLIC SO
4	THAT DON KOHN CAN CARRY ON THIS WORK. AND SO WE
5	HAVE BEEN MAKING ARRANGEMENTS SO THAT CIRM COULD
6	FACILITATE THAT.
7	IF THERE ARE ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS TO
8	THAT, I'M TOLD THAT ORCHARD WILL BE SENDING AN
9	UPDATE THAT WILL BE SHARED WITH THE PATIENT ADVOCATE
10	COMMUNITY AND THE PUBLIC AND WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE
11	ON THEIR WEBSITE TODAY. SO THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR
12	THE PUBLIC COMMENT. WE DEFINITELY KEEP YOU AT THE
13	CENTER OF WHAT WE DO AND ARE ADVOCATING FOR YOU AS
14	MUCH AS POSSIBLE IN TRYING TO COME UP WITH THE BEST
15	WAYS TO ACCELERATE TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS REGARDLESS
16	OF CHALLENGES WE FACE AHEAD OF US. AND I REALLY DO
17	APPRECIATE SENATOR TORRES AND ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS'
18	SUPPORT, WE ALL DO AS A TEAM AT CIRM. THANK YOU SO
19	MUCH.
20	CHAIRMAN STEWARD: THANK YOU, MARIA.
21	I THINK THAT IS AN EXCELLENT WAY TO END
22	OUR MEETING TODAY UNLESS THERE'S OTHER URGENT
23	BUSINESS TO CONSIDER. IF THERE'S NOTHING ELSE,
24	WE'LL GO AHEAD AND CLOSE OUT THE MEETING. THANKS TO
25	EVERYONE YOUR TIME TODAY, AND WE'LL LOOK FORWARD TO

```
TAKING UP THESE ISSUES AT THE NEXT FULL MEETING OF
 1
 2
      THE ICOC.
                MR. TORRES: THANK YOU, OS.
 3
                MS. BONNEVILLE: THANKS, EVERYONE. SEE
 4
 5
      YOU IN JUNE.
          (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 9:38 A.M.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                29
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE ZOOM PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON MAY 28, 2021, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543